arXiv:1501.07752v2 [math.AP] 6 Feb 2015

Ground states for a coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system

Filipe Oliveira[*]

Department of Mathematics, FCT-UNL-CMA
Universidade Nova de Lisboa
Caparica Campus, 2829-516, Portugal

Abstract

We study the existence of ground states for the coupled Schrödinger system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} -\Delta u + u & = & |u|^{2q-2}u + b|v|^q|u|^{q-2}u \\ -\Delta v + \omega^2 v & = & |v|^{2q-2}v + b|u|^q|v|^{q-2}v \end{array}\right. \tag{1}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for $\omega \geq 1$, $b > 0$ (the so-called "attractive case") and $q > 1$ ($q < \frac{n}{n-2}$ if $n \geq 3$). We improve for several ranges of (q, n, ω) the known results concerning the existence of positive ground state solutions to (1) with non-trivial components. In particular, we prove that for $1 < q < 2$ such ground states exist in all dimensions and for all values of ω, which constitutes a drastic change of behaviour with respect to the case $q \geq 2$. Furthermore, for $q > 2$ and in the one-dimensional case $n = 1$, we improve the results in [14].

Keywords: Non-trivial ground states; Coupled nonlinear Schrödinger Systems; Nehari Manifold.
AMS Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J50, 35J60

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} -\Delta u + \lambda_1 u & = & |u|^{2q-2}u + b|v|^q|u|^{q-2}u \\ -\Delta v + \lambda_2 v & = & |v|^{2q-2}v + b|u|^q|v|^{q-2}v, \end{array}\right. \tag{2}$$

---

[*] e-mail address: fso@fct.unl.pt

with $u, v : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ $(n \geq 1)$, $q > 1$, $b > 0$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$, which appears in several physical contexts, namely in nonlinear optics (see [1] and the references therein).

By rescaling the x variable and/or inverting the roles of u and v, it is easy to see that (2) can be reduced, without loss of generality, to the system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} -\Delta u + u & = & |u|^{2q-2}u + b|v|^q|u|^{q-2}u \\ -\Delta v + \omega^2 v & = & |v|^{2q-2}v + b|u|^q|v|^{q-2}v, \qquad \omega \geq 1. \end{array} \right. \tag{3}$$

In the last years, this system has been extensively studied by many authors (see for instance [2], [11], [12], [17]). In particular, in [4] and [5] the authors studied the case $q = 2$ and $n = 2, 3$, proving the existence of a constant $\Lambda > 0$ depending on ω such that for $b < \Lambda$ the system (3) admits a non-trivial radial solution $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ (with $u, v > 0$ if $b > 0$). The authors also showed the existence of another constant $\Lambda' \geq \Lambda$ such that for $b > \Lambda'$ the system possesses a radial ground state solution $W_* = (u_*, v_*)$ $(u_*, v_* > 0)$, in the sense that W_* minimizes the energy functional associated to (3) among all solutions in $(u, v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. In [9] Ikoma and Tamaka showed that for $0 < b < \min\{\Lambda, 1\}$, the solutions found in [4],[5] are in fact also least energy solutions.

In [14], following some of the ideas presented in [15], the authors proved the existence of a radial non-trivial ground state solution (u^*, v^*) $(u^*, v^* \geq 0)$ for every $b > 0$ and for (q, n) satisfying

$$1 < q < \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} +\infty & if & n = 1, 2 \\ \dfrac{n}{n-2} & if & n \geq 3. \end{array} \right. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore, it is shown that for

$$b \geq \mathcal{C}_{\omega,n,q} := \frac{1}{2}\Big[1 + \frac{n}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{1}{q}\Big) + \frac{1}{w^2}\Big(1 - \frac{n}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{1}{q}\Big)\Big)\Big]^q \omega^{2q-n(q-1)} - 1 \tag{5}$$

there exists a ground state (u^*, v^*) with $u^*, v^* > 0$.

In the present paper we will prove the existence of a positive radial decreasing ground state solution to (3) for all (q, n) satisfying the condition (4). Exploring this radial decay, we improve the constant $\mathcal{C}_{\omega,n,q}$ derived in [14] for all $q > 1$ and large ω in the case $n = 1$ and for all $1 < q < 2$ in any dimension, in fact replacing it by 0 in the latter case.

When dealing with the system (3) it is often necessary to treat the case $n = 1$ separately due to the lack of compactness of the injection $H^1_d(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R})$, $q > 2$, where $H^1_d(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of the radially symmetric functions of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. This lack of compactness is, in a sense, a consequence of the inequality

$$|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{6}$$

for $u \in H^1_d(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, (6) gives no decay in the case $n = 1$. However, if u is also radially decreasing, it is easy to establish that

$$|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which provides decay in all space dimensions, hence compacity by applying the classical Strauss' compactness lemma ([16]). Hence, putting

$$H^1_{rd}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in H^1_d(\mathbb{R}^n) \,:\, u \text{ is radially decreasing}\},$$

we get the compactness of the injection $H^1_{rd}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $n \geq 1$ (see the Appendix of [3] for more details). We will use this fact to present a unified approach for the problem of the energy minimization of (3), valid in all space dimensions.

Before stating our results more precisely, and following the functional settings in [4], [5] and [14], let us introduce a few notations: we denote by $\|\cdot\|_q$ the standard $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm and, for $(u, v) \in E := H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we put

$$\|(u, v)\|^2_{\omega} := \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2_{\omega} := \|u\|^2_2 + \|\nabla u\|^2_2 + \omega^2\|v\|^2_2 + \|\nabla v\|^2_2.$$

We introduce the energy functional associated to (3),

$$I(u, v) := \frac{1}{2}\|(u, v)\|^2_{\omega} - \frac{1}{2q}\Big(\|u\|^{2q}_{2q} + \|v\|^{2q}_{2q} + 2b\|uv\|^q_q\Big),$$

noticing that (u, v) is a solution of (3) if and only if $\nabla I(u, v) = 0$.
We will study the minimization problem

$$\inf\{I(u, v) \,:\, (u, v) \in \mathcal{N}\}, \tag{7}$$

where the so-called Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{N} := \{(u, v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \,:\, (u, v) \neq (0, 0), \nabla I(u, v) \perp (u, v)\},$$

that is, $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$ if and only if $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ and

$$\tau(u, v) := \langle \nabla I(u, v), (u, v)\rangle_{L^2} = \|(u, v)\|^2_{\omega} - \Big(\|u\|^{2q}_{2q} + \|v\|^{2q}_{2q} + 2b\|uv\|^q_q\Big) = 0.$$

As pointed out in [4] for the case $q = 2$, we notice that

$$\langle \nabla\tau(u,v),(u,v)\rangle_{L^2} = 2\|(u,v)\|_\omega^2 - 2q\Big(\|u\|_{2q}^{2q} + \|v\|_{2q}^{2q} + 2b\|uv\|_q^q\Big),$$

and, if $(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\langle \nabla\tau(u,v),(u,v)\rangle_{L^2} = 2(1-q)\|(u,v)\|_\omega^2 < 0 \tag{8}$$

which shows that $\mathcal{N}$ is locally smooth.
Furthermore, it is easy to check that $[h_1,h_2]\mathrm{Hess}\,\tau_{(0,0)}\,{}^t[h_1,h_2] > 0$ for all $(h_1,h_2) \neq (0,0)$: $(0,0)$ is a strict minimizer of τ, hence an isolated point of the set $\{\tau(u,v) = 0\}$, implying that $\mathcal{N}$ is a complete manifold. Finally, any critical point of I constrained to $\mathcal{N}$ is a critical point of I. Indeed, let us consider $(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}$ a critical point of I constrained to $\mathcal{N}$. There exists a Lagrange multiplier λ such that $\nabla I(u,v) = \lambda\nabla\tau(u,v)$.
By taking the L^2 scalar product with (u,v),

$$\langle \nabla I(u,v),(u,v)\rangle_{L^2} = \lambda\langle \nabla\tau(u,v),(u,v)\rangle_{L^2},$$

that is, in view of (8), $0 = \lambda(2-2q)\|(u,v)\|_\omega^2$, hence $\lambda = 0$ and $\nabla I(u,v) = 0$.

Putting $E_{rd} = H_{rd}^1 \times H_{rd}^1$ the cone of symmetric radially decreasing non-negative functions of E, we will prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n \geq 1$ and $q > 1$, with $q \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$ if $n > 3$. There exists a minimizing sequence $(u_n,v_n) \in E_{rd}$ for the minimization problem (7). Furthermore, $(u_n,v_n) \to (u_*,v_*) \in E_{rd}$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular*

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_*,v_*) &= \min_{\mathcal{N}} I(u,v) = \min_{\mathcal{N}\cap E_{rd}} I(u,v) \\ &= \min\{I(u,v) \,:\, (u,v) \neq (0,0) \text{ and } \nabla I(u,v) = 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Concerning the existence of ground states with non-trivial components, we will show:

Theorem 1.2 *Let $n \geq 1$ and $1 < q < 2$, with $q < \frac{n}{n-2}$ if $n \geq 3$.
Then for all $b > 0$ there exists a ground state solution $(u,v) \in E_{rd}$ to (3) with $u > 0$ and $v > 0$.*

Theorem 1.3 *Let $n = 1$ and $q \geq 2$. If*

$$b \geq \mathcal{D}_{\omega,q} = \frac{2^q - 1}{2}\omega^{1+\frac{q}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}\omega^{-\frac{q}{2}} \tag{10}$$

there exists a ground state solution $(u,v) \in E_{rd}$ to (3) with $u > 0$ and $v > 0$.

Notice that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\omega,q} < \mathcal{C}_{\omega,1,q} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2q} + \frac{1}{\omega^2}\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2q}\Big)\Big)^q \omega^{1+q} - 1$$

at least for large values of ω.

2 Proof of Teorem 1.1

We begin by observing that for $(f,g) \in E$, $(f,g) \neq (0,0)$, with $\tau(f,g) \leq 0$, there exists $t \in]0,1]$ such that $(tf,tg) \in \mathcal{N}$. Indeed, if $\tau(f,g) = 0$, we choose $t = 1$. If $\tau(f,g) < 0$ we simply notice that

$$\tau(tf,tg) = t^2\Big(\|(f,g)\|_{\dot{\omega}}^2 - t^{2q-2}(\|f\|_{2q}^{2q} + \|g\|_{2q}^{2q} + 2b\|fg\|_q^q)\Big) := t^2 T_{f,g}(t),$$

with $T_{f,g}(0) > 0$ and $T_{f,g}(1) < 0$.
Also, we notice that if $(f,g) \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$I(f,g) = \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2q}\Big)\|(f,g)\|_{\dot{\omega}} = \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2q}\Big)(\|f\|_{2q}^{2q} + \|g\|_{2q}^{2q} + 2b\|fg\|_q^q). \quad (11)$$

We now take a minimizing sequence $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{N}$ for the problem

$$m = \inf\{I(u,v) \,:\, (u,v) \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

From (11), it is clear that $m \geq 0$ and that (u_n, v_n) is bounded in E.

We put u_n^* and v_n^* the decreasing radial rearrangements of $|u_n|$ and $|v_n|$ respectively. It is well-known that this rearrangement preserves the L^p norm $(1 \leq p \leq +\infty)$. Furthermore, the Pólya-Szegö inequality

$$\|\nabla f^*\|_2 \leq \|\nabla |f|\|_2$$

in addition with the inequality $\|\nabla |f|\|_2 \leq \|\nabla f\|_2$ (see [13]) shows that

$$\|(u_n^*, v_n^*)\|_{\dot{\omega}}^2 \leq \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\dot{\omega}}^2.$$

On the other hand, the Hardy-Littlewood inequality

$$\int |fg| \leq \int f^* g^*$$

combined with the monotonicity of the map $\lambda \to \lambda^q$ (see for instance [8] for details) yields $\|fg\|_q \leq \|f^* g^*\|_q$ and, finally,

$$\tau(u_n^*, v_n^*) \leq \tau(u_n, v_n) = 0.$$

Next, let $t_n \in]0,1]$ such that $(t_n u_n^*, t_n v_n^*) \in \mathcal{N}$. We obtain

$$I(t_n u_n^*, t_n v_n^*) = t_n^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}\Big)\|(u_n^*, v_n^*)\|_{\dot\omega}^2 \le \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}\Big)\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\dot\omega}^2 = I(u_n, v_n)$$

and we obtained a minimizing sequence $(t_n u_n^*, t_n v_n^*)$ in E_{rd}, denoted again, in what follows, by (u_n, v_n). Since this sequence is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, up to a subsequence, $(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u_*, v_*)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weak. Also, since the injection $E_{rd} \to L^{2q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is compact, up to a subsequence, $(u_n, v_n) \to (u_*, v_*)$ in $L^{2q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ strong.

Hence, since $\|u_n\|_{2q}^{2q} + \|v_n\|_{2q}^{2q} + 2b\|u_n v_n\|_q^q \to \|u_*\|_{2q}^{2q} + \|v_*\|_{2q}^{2q} + 2b\|u_* v_*\|_q^q$, we deduce that

$$\tau(u_*, v_*) \le \liminf \tau(u_n, v_n) = 0.$$

Once again, let $t \in]0,1]$ such that $(tu_*, tv_*) \in \mathcal{N}$.

$$\begin{aligned} m \le I(tu_*, tv_*) &= t^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}\Big)\|(u_*, v_*)\|_{\dot\omega}^2 \\ &\le \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}\Big)\liminf \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\dot\omega}^2 \le \liminf I(u_n, v_n) = m. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (tu_*, tv_*) is a minimizer. In particular, all inequalities above are in fact equalities: $t = 1$, $(u_*, v_*) \in \mathcal{N}$, $\|(u_*, v_*)\|_{\dot\omega} = \lim \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\dot\omega}$, $\|u_n\|_{H^1} \to \|u_*\|_{H^1}$, $\|v_n\|_{H^1} \to \|v_*\|_{H^1}$ and $(u_n, v_n) \to (u_*, v_*)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ strong.

Finally, it is clear that (u_*, v_*) is a ground state: if $(w_1, w_2) \neq (0,0)$ is a critical point of I such that $I(w_1, w_2) < I(u_*, v_*)$, taking once again w_1^* and w_2^* the decreasing radial rearrangements of $|w_1|$ and $|w_2|$, there exists $t \in]0,1]$ such that $(tw_1^*, tw_2^*) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $I(tw_1^*, tw_2^*) \le I(w_1, w_2)$, which leads to a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. ∎

3 Ground states with non-trivial components

Let $(u_*, v_*) \in E_{rd}$ the ground state mentionned in Theorem 1.1. If $v_* = 0$, $u_* = u_0$ is the unique positive radially symmetric solution of the elliptic equation $-\Delta u + u = u^{2q-1}$ (see [10]).

Also, if $u_* = 0$, $v_* = v_0$ is the unique positive radially symmetric solution of $-\Delta v + \omega^2 v = v^{2q-1}$, which relates to u_0 by the relation $v_0(x) = \omega^{\frac{1}{q-1}} u_0(\omega x)$.

Hence, to show the existence of a ground state with nontrivial components, we only have to exhibit an element $(f, g) \in \mathcal{N} \cap E_{rd}$, $f \neq 0$, $g \neq 0$, such that

$$I(f, g) \le \min\{I(u_0, 0), I(0, v_0)\}. \tag{12}$$

Since $I(u_0,0)=\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}\Big)\|u_0\|_{2q}^{2q}$, $I(0,v_0)=\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}\Big)\|u_0\|_{2q}^{2q}$ and $\frac{2q}{q-1}-n>0$, for $\omega\geq 1$ the inequality (12) reduces to

$$I(f,g)\leq I(u_0,0). \tag{13}$$

We first compute $x>0$ such that $(f,g):=(xu_0,x\theta v_0)\in\mathcal{N}$, where $\theta>0$ will be chosen later (see [6] and [7] for a recent application of a related technique to the Schrödinger-KdV system):

$$\tau(f,g)=x^2\|(u_0,\theta v_0)\|_{\dot\omega}^2-x^{2q}\Big(\|u_0\|_{2q}^{2q}+\theta^{2q}\|v_0\|_{2q}^{2q}+2b\theta^q\|u_0v_0\|_q^q\Big)=0.$$

Since

$$\|\theta v_0\|_{\dot\omega}^2=\omega^{2+\frac{2}{q-1}-n}\|\theta u_0\|_2^2+\omega^{2+\frac{2}{q-1}-n}\|\theta\nabla u_0\|_2^2=\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}\theta^2\|u_0\|^2$$

and

$$\|v_0\|_{2q}^{2q}=\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}\|u_0\|_{2q}^{2q},$$

we obtain

$$x^{2q-2}=\frac{(1+\theta^2\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n})\|u_0\|^2}{(1+\theta^{2q}\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n})\|u_0\|_{2q}^{2q}+2b\theta^q\|u_0v_0\|_q^q}=\frac{1+\theta^2\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}}{1+\theta^{2q}\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}+2b\theta^q\frac{\|u_0v_0\|_q^q}{\|u_0\|_{2q}^{2q}}}.$$

Since u_0 is radial and nonincreasing and $\omega\geq 1$,

$$\|u_0v_0\|_q^q=\omega^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\int u_0^q(x)u_0^q(\omega x)dx\leq\omega^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\int u_0^q(x)u_0^q(x)dx=\omega^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\|u_0\|_{2q}^{2q}.$$

Also,

$$\|u_0v_0\|_q^q\geq\omega^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\int u_0^{2q}(\omega x)dx=\omega^{\frac{q}{q-1}-n}\|u_0\|_{2q}^{2q}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{1+\theta^2\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}}{1+\theta^{2q}\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}+2b\theta^q\omega^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}\leq x^{2q-2}\leq\frac{1+\theta^2\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}}{1+\theta^{2q}\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}+2b\theta^q\omega^{\frac{q}{q-1}-n}} \tag{14}$$

and

$$I(f,g)=x^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}\Big)\|(u_0,\theta v_0)\|_{\dot\omega}^2=x^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}\Big)(1+\theta^2\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n})\|u_0\|^2.$$

The condition (13) then becomes $x^2(1+\theta^2\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}) \leq 1$.
In view of (14), a sufficient condition is

$$\frac{(1+\theta^2\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n})^q}{1+\theta^{2q}\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}+2b\theta^q\omega^{\frac{q}{q-1}-n}} \leq 1,$$

that is,

$$b \geq \frac{(1+\theta^2\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n})^q - 1 - \theta^{2q}\omega^{\frac{2q}{q-1}-n}}{2\theta^q\omega^{\frac{q}{q-1}-n}}.$$

We now put $\theta^2 = \epsilon^2\omega^{n-\frac{2q}{q-1}}$, for $\epsilon > 0$, obtaining the condition

$$b \geq \frac{(1+\epsilon^2)^q-1}{2\epsilon^q}\omega^{q-\frac{n}{2}(q-2)} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^q\omega^{(\frac{n}{2}-1)q}.$$

For $1<q<2$, $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\dfrac{(1+\epsilon^2)^q-1}{2\epsilon^q} = 0$.
Hence, the arbitrary value of ϵ establishes the sufficient condition $b > 0$.

For $n = 1$, putting $\epsilon = 1$, we obtain the bound

$$b \geq \frac{2^q-1}{2}\omega^{1+\frac{q}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}\omega^{-\frac{q}{2}}, \tag{15}$$

as stated in Theorem 1.3. ■

We finish by making a few remarks:

Remark 3.1 *For $\omega = 1$ and $\theta = 1$, we obtain, for all $n \geq 1$, the bound $2^{q-1}-1$ which is known to be optimal for $q \geq 2$, in the sense that for $b < 2^{q-1}-1$ all ground states of (3) have one null component (see [14], Theorem 2.5).*

Remark 3.2 *The bound in (15) can be slightly improved for large values of ω by replacing the quantity $\dfrac{2^q-1}{2}$ by the minimum of $\dfrac{(1+\epsilon^2)^q-1}{2\epsilon^q}$ for $\epsilon > 0$.*

Remark 3.3 *For $n \geq 4$ we have $1 < q < 2$, hence the problem of the existence of ground states with non-trivial components is completely solved for these spatial dimensions.*

Acknowledgment: This article was partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, through contract PEst-OE/MAT/UI0297/2015.

References

[1] N. Akhmediev and A. Ankiewicz, Partially coherent solitons on a finite background, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 26-61, 1999.

[2] T. Bartsch and Z.Q. Wang, Note on ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger systems, J. Partial Diff. Eq. 19, 200-207, 2006.

[3] H. Berestycki and P. L. Lions, Nonlinear Scalar Field Equations, I: Existence of a Ground State, A.R.M.A. 82, 313-345, 1983.

[4] A. Ambrosetti and E. Colorado, Bound and ground states of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. 1 342, 453-458, 2006.

[5] A. Ambrosetti and E. Colorado, Standing waves of some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, J. London Math. Soc. 75 (1), 67-82, 2007.

[6] E. Colorado, On the existence of bound and ground states for some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger–Korteweg-de Vries equations, arXiv:1411.7283, 2014.

[7] E. Colorado, Existence of Bound and Ground States for a System of Coupled Nonlinear Schr̈dinger-KdV Equations, arXiv:1410.7638, 2014.

[8] H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, 1952.

[9] N.Ikoma and N.Tanaka, A local mountain pass type result for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Calc.Var. Partial Diff. Equ. 40, 449-480, 2011.

[10] M.K. Kwong, Uniqueness of positive solutions of $-\Delta u + u = u^p$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 105, 243-266, 1989.

[11] T.C. Lin and J. Wei, Ground state of N coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in $\mathbf{R}^n$, $n \leq 3$, Comm. Math. Phys. 255, 629-653, 2005.

[12] T.-C. Lin and J. Wei, Erratum: Ground state of N coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in $\mathbf{R}^n$, $n \leq 3$, Comm. Math. Phys. 277, 573-576, 2008.

[13] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, Part 1, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 1, 109-145, 1984.

[14] L.A. Maia, E.Montefusco and B. Pellacci, Positive solutions for a weakly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger System, J. Diff. Eq. 229, 743-767, 2006.

[15] P.H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 43, 270-291, 1992.

[16] W. A. Strauss, Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys. 55, 149-162, 1977.

[17] B. Sirakov, Least energy solitary waves for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in $\mathbf{R}^n$, Comm. Math. Phys. 271, 199-221, 2007.